

Amendments To The Claims

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently amended) A computer-implemented method for verifying software source code that includes references to program variables, the method comprising:

processing the source code to derive a set of next-state functions representing control flow of the source code;

replacing the references to the program variables in the source code with non-deterministic choices in the next-state functions;

restricting the next-state functions including the non-deterministic choices to produce a finite-state model of the control flow; and

verifying the finite-state model to find an error in the source code.

2. (Currently amended) A—The method according to claim 1, wherein processing the source code comprises extracting a program counter from the source code, and expressing the next-state functions in terms of the program counter.

3. (Currently amended) A—The method according to claim 2, wherein processing the source code further comprises expressing the next-state functions with reference to a stack pointer associated with a stack used in running the code, and wherein replacing the program variables comprises eliminating

substantially all the references to the program variables from the next-state functions, leaving the next-state functions dependent on the program counter and on the stack pointer.

4. (Currently amended) ~~A—The~~ method according to claim 3, wherein restricting the next-state functions comprises limiting the stack pointer to a value no greater than a predetermined maximum.

5. (Currently amended) ~~A—The~~ method according to claim 1, wherein replacing the program variables comprises eliminating the references to the program variables from the next-state functions, so that the finite-state model is substantially independent of data values of the program variables.

6. (Currently amended) ~~A—The~~ method according to claim 1, wherein processing the source code further comprises expressing the next-state functions with reference to a stack used in running the code, and wherein restricting the next-state functions comprises limiting the stack to a depth no greater than a predetermined maximum.

7. (Currently amended) ~~A—The~~ method according to claim 6, wherein expressing the next-state functions comprises expressing the next-state functions in terms of a stack pointer associated with the stack, and wherein limiting the stack comprises limiting the stack pointer to a value no greater than the predetermined maximum, and

wherein expressing the next-state functions in terms of the stack pointer comprises incrementing the stack pointer in

response to a function call in the source code, up to the predetermined maximum, and decrementing the stack pointer when the function returns.

8. (Canceled)

9. (Currently amended) A—The method according to claim 1, wherein verifying the finite-state model comprises checking the finite-state model against a specification using a model checker.

10. (Currently amended) A—The method according to claim 9, wherein restricting the next-state functions comprises automatically producing the model from the source code in a form suitable for processing by the model checker, substantially without human intervention in deriving and restricting the next-state functions or in replacing the references.

11. (Currently amended) A—The method according to claim 9, wherein checking the finite state model comprises checking the model against one or more formulas expressed in terms of temporal logic.

12. (Currently amended) A—The method according to claim 9, wherein checking the finite state model comprises finding a counter-example indicative of the error.

13. (Original) Apparatus for verifying software source code that includes references to program variables, the apparatus comprising a program analyzer, which is arranged to

process the source code so as to derive a set of next-state functions representing control flow of the source code and to replace the references to the program variables in the source code with non-deterministic choices in the next-state functions, and further to restrict the next-state functions including the non-deterministic choices to produce a finite-state model of the control flow, which can be checked by a model checker to find an error in the source code.

14. (Currently amended) The Apparatusapparatus according to claim 13, wherein the program analyzer is arranged to extract a program counter from the source code, and to express the next-state functions in terms of the program counter.

15. (Currently amended) The Apparatusapparatus according to claim 14, wherein the program analyzer is further arranged to express the next-state functions with reference to a stack pointer associated with a stack used in running the code, and to eliminate substantially all the references to the program variables from the next-state functions, leaving the next-state functions dependent on the program counter and on the stack pointer.

16. (Currently amended) The Apparatusapparatus according to claim 15, wherein the program analyzer is arranged to limit the stack pointer to a value no greater than a predetermined maximum.

17. (Currently amended) The Apparatusapparatus according to claim 13, wherein the program analyzer is

arranged to remove the references to the program variables from the next-state functions, so that the finite-state model is substantially independent of data values of the program variables.

18. (Currently amended) The Apparatus according to claim 13, wherein the program analyzer is arranged to express the next-state functions with reference to a stack used in running the code, which is limited to a depth no greater than a predetermined maximum, and

wherein the next-state functions are expressed in terms of a stack pointer associated with the stack, and wherein the stack pointer is limited to a value no greater than the predetermined maximum.

19. (Canceled)

20. (Currently amended) The Apparatus according to claim 19, wherein in the next-state functions, the stack pointer is incremented in response to a function call in the source code, up to the predetermined maximum, and is decremented when the function returns.

21. (Currently amended) The Apparatus according to claim 13, and comprising a model checker, which is arranged to check the finite-state model against a specification.

22. (Currently amended) The Apparatus according to claim 21, wherein the program analyzer is arranged to automatically produce the model from the source

code in a form suitable for processing by the model checker, substantially without human intervention in deriving and restricting the next-state functions or in replacing the references.

23. (Currently amended) The apparatus according to claim 21, wherein the model checker is arranged to check the model against one or more formulas expressed in terms of temporal logic.

24. (Currently amended) The apparatus according to claim 21, wherein the model checker is arranged to find a counter-example indicative of the error.

25. (Original) A computer software product for verifying source code that includes references to program variables, the product comprising a computer-readable medium in which program instructions are stored, which instructions, when read by the computer, cause the computer to process the source code so as to derive a set of next-state functions representing control flow of the source code and to replace the references to the program variables in the source code with non-deterministic choices in the next-state functions, and further cause the computer to restrict the next-state functions including the non-deterministic choices to produce a finite-state model of the control flow, which can be checked by a model checker to find an error in the source code.

26. (Currently amended) A product according to claim 25, wherein the instructions cause the computer to

extract a program counter from the source code, and to express the next-state functions in terms of the program counter.

27. (Currently amended) A—The product according to claim 26, wherein the instructions cause the computer to express the next-state functions with reference to a stack pointer associated with a stack used in running the code, and to eliminate substantially all the references to the program variables from the next-state functions, leaving the next-state functions dependent on the program counter and on the stack pointer.

28. (Currently amended) A—The product according to claim 27, wherein the instructions cause the computer to limit the stack pointer to a value no greater than a predetermined maximum.

29. (Currently amended) A—The product according to claim 25, wherein the instructions cause the computer to remove the references to the program variables from the next-state functions, so that the finite-state model is substantially independent of data values of the program variables.

30. (Currently amended) A—The product according to claim 25, wherein the instructions cause the computer to express the next-state functions with reference to a stack used in running the code, which is limited to a depth no greater than a predetermined maximum.

31. (Currently amended) A—The product according to claim 30, wherein the next-state functions are expressed in terms of a stack pointer associated with the stack, and wherein the stack pointer is limited to a value no greater than the predetermined maximum, and

wherein the next-state functions are expressed in terms of a stack pointer associated with the stack, and wherein the stack pointer is limited to a value no greater than the predetermined maximum.

32. (Canceled)

33. (Currently amended) A—The product according to claim 25, wherein the instructions further cause the computer to check the finite-state model against a specification.

34. (Currently amended) A—The product according to claim 33, wherein the instructions cause the computer to automatically produce the model from the source code in a form suitable for checking against the specification, substantially without human intervention in deriving and restricting the next-state functions or in replacing the references.

35. (Currently amended) A—The product according to claim 33, wherein the instructions cause the computer to check the model against one or more formulas expressed in terms of temporal logic.

36. (Currently amended) A—The product according to claim 33, wherein the instructions cause the computer to find a counter-example indicative of the error.